Draft Policy ARIN-2014-1 "Out of Region Use"

Milton Mueller, Bill Darte AC Shepherds

Problem statement (summary)

- Current policy neither clearly forbids nor clearly permits out of region use of ARIN-registered resources. Previous attempts to limit out of region use have failed. The next logical option is to discuss a proposal that clearly permits out of region use.

- Yet, permitting out of region use poses issues that have to be addressed by policy and adjustments to operational practice. The policy changes also address those

New Section X

- "ARIN registered resources may be used outside the ARIN service region and such use is valid justification for new or additional resources. A resource is considered to be used outside the region if it exclusively serves a user, customer or technical ≠infrastructure location outside the ARIN service region."

- "The services and facilities used to justify the need for ARIN resources that will be used out of region should not also be used to justify resource requests from another RIR."

New Section X: documentation requirements

- "When a request for resources from ARIN is justified by need located within another RIR's service region and is more than the equivalent of a /22 for IPv4, a /36 for IPv6, or two (2) ASNs, the requesting organization will also report to ARIN the utilization status of all resources of the same type held with any other RIR that are used or are available for use within the requested service region. The organization will also supply any additional supporting documentation requested by ARIN regarding the need for the reported resources. The report must demonstrate that all resources currently available for use within the requested service region are efficiently utilized based on applicable ARIN policy."

Discussion

- There are several concerns raised in the Staff and Legal Review
  - Clarify whether it is a whole registration or a portion of a registration
  - Is it consistent with ICANN ICP-2?
  - Will ARIN be subject to laws in other jurisdictions?
  - US restrictions on dealings with certain countries
  - Potential for additional Governmental Oversight (but, see RIPE comment)
- Reaction from the PPC
  - Strong support for the idea
  - Is it moot? Hundreds of US firms getting non needs based /22s from RIPE-NCC runout policy
  - Some questioning of or resistance to documentation – how to best verify or enforce prohibition on double counting
  - Are we creating a future trap for ourselves by even distinguishing between in-region and out-of-region use?