



Draft Policy ARIN-2015-3

David Farmer

Draft Policy ARIN-2015-3



Remove 30-Day Utilization Requirement in End-User IPv4 Policy

Author: David Huberman

AC Shepherds: David Farmer & Leif Sawyer

Problem Statement



End-user policy is intended to provide end-users with a one year supply of IP addresses. Qualification for a one-year supply requires the network operator to utilize at least 25% of the requested addresses within 30 days. This text is unrealistic and should be removed.



Current Policy Text

4.3.3 Utilization rate

Utilization rate of address space is a key factor in justifying a new assignment of IP address space. Requesters must show exactly how previous address assignments have been utilized and must provide appropriate details to verify their one-year growth projection. The basic criteria that must be met are:

- * A 25% immediate utilization rate, and
- * A 50% utilization rate within one year.

...



Proposed Policy Change

4.3.3 Utilization rate

Utilization rate of address space is a key factor in justifying a new assignment of IP address space. Requesters must show exactly how previous address assignments have been utilized and must provide appropriate details to verify their one-year growth projection. The basic criteria that must be met are:

- ~~* A 25% immediate utilization rate, and~~
- * A 50% utilization rate within one year.

...



Editorial Changes

4.3.3 Utilization rate

Utilization rate of address space is a key factor in justifying a new assignment of IP address space. Requesters must show exactly how previous address assignments have been utilized and must provide appropriate details to verify their one-year growth projection.

The basic criteria that must be met ~~are:~~ *A is a 50% utilization rate within one year.

...

Comments



- It often takes longer than 30 days to stage equipment and start actually using the addresses.
- Growth is often not that regimented; the forecast is to use X addresses over the course of a year, not to use 25% of X within 30 days.

Comments - Continued



- This policy text applies to additional address space requests. It is incompatible with the requirements of other additional address space request justification which indicates that 80% utilization of existing space is sufficient to justify new space.

Comments - Continued



- In the face of ARIN exhaustion, some ISPs are starting to not give out /24 (or larger) blocks. So the justification for the 25% rule that previously existed (and in fact, applied for many years) is no longer germane.

Discussion to this point



- Limited Discussion on PPML
- Presented at the NANOG 64 PPC
- Mostly agreement there is an issue
- Discussion of several possible solutions
- Some Support and Opposition
 - **Not enough of either to determine consensus**

PPML Highlights



- Can we change 30 days to 90 days?
 - **Does that fix the issues without completely removing the requirement?**
- We should just align End-user and ISP Policies
 - **How do we do that without a large omnibus policy change?**

Staff Assessment



- This policy would more closely aligns with the way staff applies the existing policy in relation to 8.3 transfers. Because there is no longer an IPv4 free pool and many IPv4 requests are likely to be satisfied by 8.3 transfers

Staff Assessment - Continued



- Note that both NRPM 4.3.3 and NRPM 4.2.3.6 contain references to obsolete RFC 2050. Additionally, 4.2.3.6 references the 25% immediate use (within 30 days of issuance) requirement.
- Staff suggests removing the two RFC 2050 References

Discussion Items



- Need to understand where the community wants to go with this
 - **Remove the 25% immediate (30 day) utilization requirement**
 - **Something else, what should that be?**
- If we move forward with this, should we also remove the RFC 2050 References?
- Should we keep working on this?