

Policy Proposal 2007-14

Resource Review Process



Policy Proposal 2007-14 History

Introduced on PPML	01 MAY 07
Designated Formal Proposal	24 JUL 07
First PPM Discussion	ARIN XX
Last Revision	Not Revised

Proposal Text In Meeting Packet
http://www.arin.net/policy/2007_14.html

Policy Proposal 2007-14

Description

- This policy proposal provides clear policy authority to audit or reclaim resources, guidelines for how it shall be done, and a guarantee of a (minimum) six-month grace period so that the current user shall have time to stop using any resources to be reclaimed.

AC Shepherds

- ⇒ Robert Seastrom
- ⇒ Leo Bicknell

Policy Proposal 2007-14

PPML Discussion*

- 2 for, none against
- Comments:
 - ➔ "I SUPPORT proposal 2007-14. Counsel has the right of it."

Posts	People
8	6

*Prior to being a formal proposal there were 17 posts by 7 people with 3 in favor and 2 against.

Policy Proposal 2007-14

Legal Assessment*

"Counsel strongly supports some version of this policy being enacted and believes adoption of this policy will save significant future legal fees. This policy proposal spells out a series of customary and contractual policies and rights that are important to make as clear as possible.

Counsel does not agree with that portion of the description which states ARIN "feels that current policy does not give them the power...." And believes such powers are adequately vested in ARIN' but believes instead such powers can always be more carefully delineated for ease of understanding."

* October 2007

Policy Proposal 2007-14

Staff Comments*

1. 2c does not reconcile with the RSA, which grants ARIN authority to request any data necessary and does not specify any sort of limitation to frequency.
2. Point 3 requires ARIN notify an organization each time a review is conducted. ARIN interprets a review to mean a full audit of an organization's resources conducted by ARIN staff.
3. Point 4 uses the terms "single aggregate block" and "whole resources". Are these terms used synonymously to refer to a single CIDR prefix, or to "a contiguous range of addresses"?
4. Point 6 compels ARIN to take action which doesn't reconcile with the RSA, which (as articulated above) allows ARIN to take whatever action is necessary.
5. NRPM Change – Author did not indicate placement in the NRPM. We would insert as "Section 12 Resource Review Process."

* October 2007

Policy Proposal 2007-14 Implementation Assessment*

- **Resource Impact: Minimal**
- **Implementation: 30 to 90 days After BoT Ratification**
- **Implementation Requirements:**
 - ⇒ Guidelines Changes
 - ⇒ Registration System Changes
 - ⇒ Staff training
 - ⇒ May increase RSD workload
 - ⇒ May increase turnaround times

* October 2007

Policy Proposal 2007-14

Presentation and Discussion